

## HUTTON PARISH COUNCIL

# MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN HUTTON VILLAGE HALL ON 24<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2011

PRESENT: Councillors Parkes, Martin, Wilshere, Hesketh & Mitchell

5 members of the public were present.

01/11 APOLOGIES

Cllrs Barton & Thackeray

02/11 MINUTES

**It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting held on the 6<sup>th</sup> December 2010 having been circulated previously be approved and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.**

03/11 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllr Hesketh declared a personal and prejudicial interest in planning application 2010/0839 since the applicant was related to him. He left the meeting and did not take part in the debate or voting on this item.

04/11 ADJOURNMENT FOR PUBLIC DISCUSSION

**The meeting was adjourned for a period of public discussion.**

A resident commented that the local press had today released generic news concerning the extensive austerity measures that the Lancashire Police Force needed to undertake.

The January PACT meeting had discussed the 'obstructive' parking issues on Ratten Lane and had confirmed that they would take a look at the situation.

A resident suggested that any proposals with regard to a Christmas tree in 2011 should be published in Hutton News and the views of residents sought.

The Poppy Appeal in 2010 had raised £6195.41 in the Hutton/Longton area.

A public discussion took place with regard to the LDF Site Allocations consultation. Each of the questions/site suggestions was discussed and points made by residents were noted to assist members in later coming to their conclusions. Some residents had supplied the Council with written comments and observations for consideration. These were circulated amongst members for their information and further discussion.

**The meeting was then re-convened.**

**The agenda item concerning the LDF was then brought forward for resolution as below**

05/11 LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

Members had been asked to consider making representation concerning the Local Development Framework Allocation Proposals which had been circulated to each member in advance of the meeting. Representations made during public participation were also considered.

**It was resolved that the following responses should be made:**

	Decision	Questions asked	Comments
B1		Do you support pedestrian friendly schemes in South Ribble and where and how	No Comment

		could centres become more pedestrian friendly?	
B2		Are there any routes in South Ribble where you think cycle ways could be improved or new routes created? If so, where and how (for example, along Hough Lane)?	No Comment
B3	Object	Is there a need for the proposed Bus Rapid Transit routes	Not currently in favour of BRT routes – will increase congestion unless other infrastructure improvements have been made and if undertaken must also cross into Preston Centre
B4	Support	There are existing stations at Leyland, Bamber Bridge and Lostock Hall that would benefit from improvements. How could development in the local area facilitate improvements for the train station?	Improvements should be low priority apart from increased parking provision.  This Council supports the re-opening of Midge Hall Station
B5.	Comment	Should the Park and Ride site in Penwortham be located at Howick Cross or at the Booths Roundabout?	This option is dependant on completion of Penwortham by Pass but generally this Council would prefer the Booths site due to its better access from supporting routes
B6	Suggest	Are there other priority areas in the borough where road improvements could have a significant reduction in congestion? If so, where are they and what improvements would you suggest?	Completion of Penwortham By Pass
B7		Is this issue still relevant? If so, does the policy above need amending?	No Comment
C1		Have a look through these sites and the maps, in Appendix 1 and give us your views. Are there any other sites in South Ribble that you think would be suitable for housing and/ or a mix of uses?	No Comment
SR212	Object		Substantial development of the site would be inappropriate as it is in excess of current foreseeable 'village needs'. Recently built 8 affordable housing units off Greenacres were not immediately taken up by Hutton residents and the Hutton Village Plan published in 2007 stated that whilst there is 'demand for a small scale sheltered housing scheme' there is a 'widespread resistance to further new development in the village'  Vehicle access to the site is unsuitable for a large scale development: Anchor Drive is narrow and already congested

			<p>with residential use and Liverpool Rd near to Hutton Grammar School is an existing safety and congestion black spot.</p> <p>Land is good agricultural and should be retained as such – currently used for grazing and hay production.</p> <p>Not in Local Service centre of Longton therefore should be eliminated under Core Strategy policy.</p> <p>Previous public enquiries have indicated that certain infrastructure conditions should be met before consideration of the development of this land</p>
SR077	Support Filtering		Believe should remain ‘open countryside’ and support SRBC view that it should remain undeveloped – Already filtered out
SR182	Object		Howick P&R inappropriate since it would increase congestion and it should not be considered at this site unless Penwortham By Pass completed first.
C2.		Are you aware of any sites where it might be suitable to apply set criteria for the density of housing?	No Comment
C3.	Suggest	Are you aware of any sites that would be suitable for affordable housing, in South Ribble?	Affordable housing should be limited to conversions or small infill plots to assist young, old and single people to remain in the village
C4		Are you aware of any sites immediately adjacent to rural settlements in the ...Western Parishes that would be suitable for affordable housing?	No Comment
C5		Do you think Policy D9 in the South Ribble Local Plan is still an appropriate policy to maintain? Do you think it would be appropriate to release these sites for market housing?	No Comment
C6	Suggest	To ensure the use of shops and services in Hutton, Longton and Coupe Green, should the land allocated as Countryside Areas in the South Ribble Plan now be designated for residential development?	<p>No. Housing should be concentrated as far as possible to areas which provide employment opportunity – this reduces travel and is therefore environmentally friendly</p> <p>There are no shops or services in Hutton which would benefit re-designating land for residential purposes. Such action would be contrary to Core Strategy and aspirations of Hutton Village Plan re residential development</p>
C7		Are you aware of any sites	No Comment

		that would be suitable for extra care housing for the ageing population in South Ribble?	
D1		We need your comments on whether these sites are still appropriate for employment use and should be allocated through this process?	No Comment
D2		Have a look at these sites and tell us if you agree these sites should be allocated for employment use?	No Comment
D3.		How can we protect these existing employment areas?	None in Hutton
D4		Should these sites be kept for employment uses or are there any other uses that might be more appropriate?	No Comment
D5		Do you agree that the boundaries should be changed as they are shown in the table, if not why not and what changes should be made?	No Comment
D6		Are there any sites within the Bamber Bridge District Centre that you think are appropriate for a new food store?	No Comment
D7			N/A
D8			N/A
D9		Are there any sites suitable for appropriate economic development in the rural areas of the borough? (I.e. in the Eastern area and the Western Parishes)	None
D10			N/A
D11			N/A
D12			N/A
D13			N/A
D14		Do you agree that policy FR5 of the South Ribble Local Plan should be retained or amended through this process? If not, why not and how can we protect local shops in the future?	No Comment
E1		Can you suggest any buildings/structures within South Ribble that are not currently 'listed', that you think are worthy of protecting on a 'local list'?	No Comment
E2		Are there any areas, outside of existing Conservation Areas, where the local character is so distinct and valuable that it warrants	No Comment

		special protection?	
E3			N/A
E4			N/A
E5		Do you think Policy ENV11 in the South Ribble Local Plan is still an appropriate policy to maintain? If so, are there any amendments you feel should be incorporated?	No Comment
E6	Support	Should the Council adopt a replacement tree policy for all trees lost to development? (I.e. if a tree is felled, then trees are planted to replace it)	This Council supports adoption of such a policy
E7	Suggest	Are these two trees policies from the South Ribble Local Plan still relevant? If so, should they be retained in their entirety or should they be merged to form one Trees and Woodlands policy?	Yes these policies should be retained
E8		Are you aware of any sites that would be suitable for community woodland?	No Comment
E9			N/A
E10			N/A
E11		Do you know of any site in South Ribble to provide new facilities for young people?	No Comment
E12		Are you content with the open space provision in your area? Are you aware of any sites for new open space or areas where current open space would benefit from improvement or greater accessibility?	No Comment
E13		Are there any areas or sites within South Ribble, for the restoration or creation of new priority habitats?	No Comment
E14			N/A
F1			N/A
F2		Do you agree that the location of new facilities for hot food takeaways should be restricted? If yes, are there any particular issues we should consider?	No Comment
F3		Should we have any public alcohol free zones, i.e.no alcohol outdoors on certain streets?	No Comment
F4		Do you agree the Council should be identifying areas for the provision of allotments? Can you suggest any specific sites/areas within South Ribble that should be a	No Comment

		focus for allotments?	
F5		Are you aware of any existing leisure and recreational facilities that we could enhance to improve accessibility and use by the community?	No Comment
G1		Are there any particular sites or areas in the borough that could be used to capture low carbon or renewable energy? If so what type of energy?	No Comment
G2		Are you aware of any water management issues within South Ribble?	No Comment
G3	Support	Do you agree that protecting agricultural land for food production and from inappropriate development is the right approach? And that the Best and Most Versatile Agricultural land should be protected from residential or industrial development?	Yes

**The agenda item concerning a Christmas tree in 2011 was then brought forward as below:**

06/11 CHRISTMAS TREE 2011

Members had been asked to consider how this Council might approach the question of a Christmas tree within the Parish given that funding is unlikely to be received from the Western Area Committee this year and that the cost of a tree using the same arrangements as last year is likely to be in the region of £1300 (based on 2010 figures plus small increase)

**It was resolved that Cllrs Parkes, Martin and the Clerk should comprise a working group to look into possible options and that they should report back to the Council as soon as possible with recommendations for consideration.**

**At this point Cllr Hesketh had to leave the meeting due to other pressing business.**

07/11 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

07/2010/0839 – Two and single storey extension to front @ Middle Grange Farm Grange Lane Hutton

**It was resolved that no representation should be made**

08/11 PAYMENT OF ACCOUNTS

NUMBER	PAYEE	REASON	AMOUNT
000982	P HEISE	MATERIALS - PLANTERS	65.75
000983	PRINTING WORLD	DECEMBER NEWSLETTER	245.00

**It was resolved that the above payments should be approved**

09/11 APPOINTMENT OF INTERNAL AUDITOR

**It was resolved that Sandra Wiseman should be appointed as the Internal Auditor for 2010/11 at a fee of £50.00 and that the Terms of Reference and Internal Control and Suggested Testing Methodology which had been circulated with the agenda should be approved.**

10/11 THREE TIER FORUMS

Members had been asked to consider the responses circulated with agenda which had been received from LCC and LALC Area Committee concerning the lack of consultation with regard to the appointment of the South Ribble Three Tier Forum representative.

**It was resolved that the responses should be noted**

11/11 TRAINING COURSES

**It was resolved that Cllr Martin should attend the following courses:**

- Finance Workshop
- Chairmanship Workshop

12/11 BEST KEP VILLAGE COMPETITION 2011

**It was resolved that this Council should enter the above competition and also submit Merit Class entries for Ashbridge School, Hutton Police HQ, SRBC Recreation Ground Playground & Millbrow Farm subject to the approval of the people concerned. It was also resolved that coverage of the competition should be made in Hutton News in order to enlist the support of residents in maintaining a clean and tidy village.**

13/11 STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS TO 31<sup>ST</sup> DECEMBER 2010

**It was resolved that the Statement of Accounts up to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010 as circulated with the agenda should be approved.**

14/11 NEXT MEETING

It was confirmed that the date of the next meeting should be 28<sup>th</sup> February 2011

The meeting closed at 9.30pm

CHAIRMAN